

OCA 88-0446

OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL AFFAIRS

Routing Slip

| | ACTION | INFO |
|-----------------------------------|--------|------|
| 1. D/OCA | | X |
| 2. DD/Legislation | | X |
| 3. DD/Senate Affairs | | X |
| 4. Ch/Senate Affairs | | |
| 5. DD/House Affairs | | X |
| 6. Ch/House Affairs | | |
| 7. Admin Officer | ✱ | |
| 8. Executive Officer | | |
| 9. FOIA Officer | | |
| 10. Constituent Inquiries Officer | | |
| 11. | | |
| 12. | | |

SUSPENSE

22FEB88

Date

Action Officer:

Remarks: *in VM*

STAT

IF 12FEB88

Name/Date

Response OCA 88-0446 (HAMILTON LTR)
" " 88-0482 (STOKES LTR)

OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL AFFAIRS

Routing Slip

| | ACTION | INFO |
|-----------------------------------|--------|------|
| 1. D/OCA | | X |
| 2. DD/Legislation | X | X |
| 3. DD/Senate Affairs | | |
| 4. Ch/Senate Affairs | | |
| 5. DD/House Affairs | X | |
| 6. Ch/House Affairs | | |
| 7. Admin Officer | | |
| 8. Executive Officer | | |
| 9. FOIA Officer | | |
| 10. Constituent Inquiries Officer | | |
| 11. | | |
| 12. | | |

SUSPENSE

17 FEB 83

Date

Action Officer:

STAT

Remarks:

IF 17 FEB 83

Name/Date

ROUTING SLIP

TO:

| | | ACTION | INFO | DATE | INITIAL |
|----------|------------|--------------------------|------|------|---------|
| 1 | DCI | | X | | |
| 2 | DDCI | | X | | |
| 3 | EXDIR | | X | | |
| 4 | D/ICS | | | | |
| 5 | DDI | | | | |
| 6 | DDA | | X | | |
| 7 | DDO | | | | |
| 8 | DDS&T | | | | |
| 9 | Chm/NIC | | | | |
| 10 | GC | | | | |
| 11 | IG | | | | |
| 12 | Compt | | | | |
| 13 | D/OCA | X | | | |
| 14 | D/PAO | | | | |
| 15 | D/PERS | | | | |
| 16 | D/Ex Staff | | | | |
| 17 | D/Security | | X | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| SUSPENSE | | <u>19 Feb 88</u> Date | | | |

Remarks (D/OCA to have response prepared for DCI signature, to both Ch Stokes and Hamilton)


Executive Secretary
17 Feb 88

Date

3637 (10-81)

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/11/06 :
CIA-RDP90M00005R001100160018-9

From: John Helgerson

17 FEB 1988

☒ We plan to prepare an
answer for your signature.

☐ We plan to prepare an
answer for my signature.

☐ No answer expected or required

☐ John, I prefer to _____

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/11/06 :
CIA-RDP90M00005R001100160018-9

LOUIS STOKES, OHIO, CHAIRMAN

Room H-405, U.S. CAPITOL
(202) 225-4121ANTHONY C. BEILENSON, CALIFORNIA
ROBERT W. KASTENMEIER, WISCONSIN
ROBERT A. ROE, NEW JERSEY
MATTHEW F. MCHUGH, NEW YORK
BERNARD J. DWYER, NEW JERSEY
CHARLES WILSON, TEXAS
BARBARA B. KENNELLY, CONNECTICUT
DAN GLICKMAN, KANSAS
NICHOLAS MAVROULES, MASSACHUSETTS
BILL RICHARDSON, NEW MEXICO

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PERMANENT SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE

WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6415

THOMAS K. LATIMER, STAFF DIRECTOR
MICHAEL J. O'NEIL, CHIEF COUNSEL
THOMAS R. SMEETON, ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

ER 0578X/1-88

HENRY J. HYDE, ILLINOIS
DICK CHENEY, WYOMING
BOB LIVINGSTON, LOUISIANA
BOB McEWEN, OHIO
DANIEL E. LUNGREN, CALIFORNIA
BUD SHUSTER, PENNSYLVANIATHOMAS S. FOLEY, WASHINGTON, EX OFFICIO
ROBERT H. MICHEL, ILLINOIS, EX OFFICIO

February 10, 1988

NOT FILE *HPSCI/Sec Misc*
*Clearance*Honorable William H. Webster
Director of Central Intelligence
Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Judge Webster:


I write concerning [redacted]

[redacted] whose suitability to hold a Top Secret clearance has
been questioned by the Agency's Office of Security. I am aware of the
correspondence to you from Chairman Hamilton [redacted] I wish to echo
strongly Mr. Hamilton's endorsement of [redacted]
security procedures. My own staff has been thoroughly impressed with
[redacted] unswerving dedication to high standards of security protection for
classified material. It is ironic in my view that he should be accused by
your security people of slackness in this area.STAT
STATSTAT
SIAI

STAT

My understanding of the incident which led to the Office of Security's
concern is [redacted]STAT
STAT
STAT[redacted] I believe that the Agency's position on
[redacted] suitability to hold a security clearance requires prompt revision.
It would be a truly inequitable to leave on the record the unsupported
assertion that he was directly responsible for any security breach that may
have been committed.I join Chairman Hamilton in requesting that you personally [redacted]
[redacted] make appropriate corrections of the record. I hope to discuss
this with you further in our meeting of the 17th.STAT
SIAI

Sincerely,

LOUIS STOKES
Chairman